

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/057,937	IYOKI, YUTAKA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RANODHI N. SERRAO	2141	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Ranodhi N. Serrao.

(3) _____

(2) William Pieprz (Reg. No. 33,630)

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 February 2008

Time: 12PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

15, 20, and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment with Primary's approval to amend the title, abstract, and claims 15, 20, and 21 in order to advance prosecution of the application. The Applicant agreed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 WILLIAM VAUGHN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)